

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO	). F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/902,691		07/12/2001	Li Li	M4065.0159/P159-A	3130
24998	7590	10/15/2002			
		IRO MORIN & C	EXAMINER		
	REET NW GTON, DC	20037-1526	BROCK II, PAUL E		
			•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2815	
				DATE MAILED: 10/15/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				N-				
<b></b>		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/902,691	LI ET AL.					
*	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
•		Paul E Brock II	2815					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a r Reply	ppears on the cover she	et with the correspondence ac	ddress				
A SHOTHE I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPARABLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statue ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. 1.136(a). In no event, however, meply within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) ate, cause the application to become	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered time MONTHS from the mailing date of this of me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26	September 2002 .						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ T	This action is non-final.						
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde on of Claims			ne merits is				
4)🖂	Claim(s) 59-84,92 and 93 is/are pending in t	he application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>59-84,92 and 93</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement						
	on Papers							
•	The specification is objected to by the Examin							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 July 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
•—		-xamilier.						
•	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	an priority under 25 LLS	C & 110(a) (d) or (f)					
•	<del>-</del>	gri priority under 35 0.5	.C. 9 119(a)-(u) or (i).					
a)[	All b) Some * c) None of:	nte have been received						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documer							
	<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority documer</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri</li></ul>			Stage				
* S	application from the International B ee the attached detailed Office action for a lis	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2)	a)).	Otage				
14)[] A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	l application).				
	D ☐ The translation of the foreign language packnowledgment is made of a claim for domest	• •						
Attachment	c(s)							
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notic	view Summary (PTO-413) Paper No se of Informal Patent Application (PT r:					

Application/Control Number: 09/902,691

Art Unit: 2815

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 2. Claims 59 65, 67 84, 92 and 93 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Summerfelt et al. (USPAT 5612574, Summerfelt).

With regard to claim 59, Summerfelt discloses in figure 1 an integrated circuit substrate (12). It is noted that the limitations in claim 59 are product-by-process limitations that do not patentably distinguish the claimed invention over the prior art.

With regard to claim 60, Summerfelt discloses in figure 1 and column 3, lines 3-11 wherein the substrate is a silicon-based substrate.

With regard to claim 61, Summerfelt discloses in figure 1 and column 3, lines 17-20 wherein the substrate has an oxide layer (18) formed over the substrate.

Application/Control Number: 09/902,691

Art Unit: 2815

With regard to claim 62, Summerfelt discloses in figure 1 and column 3, lines 3 - 11 wherein the substrate is a germanium substrate.

With regard to claim 63, Summerfelt discloses in figure 1 and column 3, lines 17-20 wherein the substrate has an oxide layer (18) formed over the substrate.

With regard to claim 64, Summerfelt discloses in figure 1 and column 3, lines 3-11 wherein the substrate is a gallium arsenide substrate.

With regard to claim 65, Summerfelt discloses in figure 1 and column 3, lines 17-20 wherein the substrate has an oxide layer (18) formed over the substrate.

With regard to claim 67, Summerfelt discloses in figure 1 and column 2, lines 3-8 wherein the substrate is a DRAM substrate.

With regard to claims 68 - 84, 92 and 93 Summerfelt reads on claimed limitations. It is noted that the limitations in claims 68 - 84, 92 and 93 are product-by-process claims that do not patentably distinguish the claimed invention over the prior art.

3. Claims 59 - 61, 64 - 66, 68 - 84, 92 and 93 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Filipiak et al. (USPAT 5612574, Filipiak).

With regard to claim 59, Filipiak discloses in figures 1-3 an integrated circuit substrate (30). It is noted that the limitations in claim 59 are product-by-process limitations that do not patentably distinguish the claimed invention over the prior art.

With regard to claim 60, Filipiak discloses in figures 1-3 and column 3, lines 45-50 wherein the substrate is a silicon-based substrate (32).

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/902,691

Art Unit: 2815

With regard to claim 61, Filipiak discloses in figures 1-3 and column 3, lines 50-54 wherein the substrate has an oxide layer (34) formed over the substrate.

With regard to claim 64, Filipiak discloses in figures 1-3 and column 3, lines 45-50 wherein the substrate is a gallium arsenide substrate (32).

With regard to claim 65, Filipiak discloses in figures 1-3 and column 3, lines 50-54 wherein the substrate has an oxide layer (34) formed over the substrate.

With regard to claim 66, Filipiak discloses in figures 1-3 and column 3, line 67 and column 4, lines 1-4 wherein the substrate has an antireflective coating (38) thereon.

With regard to claims 68 - 84, 92 and 93 Summerfelt reads on claimed limitations. It is noted that the limitations in claims 68 - 84, 92 and 93 are product-by-process claims that do not patentably distinguish the claimed invention over the prior art.

## Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments filed September 26, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 5. With regard to the applicant's arguments that "Because claims 59 84 recite a resulting structure produced by using these particular etching parameters and which 'cannot be adequately described in any other manner,' the product-by-process claims 59 84 are 'perfectly acceptable one(s),'" it should be noted that the product which is being claimed are cylindrical contact holes as described in the specification on page 8, lines 17 20 and in figures 1 15. Thus this product

Art Unit: 2815

can be described adequately without using product-by-process limitations. Therefore, the arguments are not persuasive and the rejection is proper.

6. Product-by-process limitations do not structurally define the product which is being claimed over the product of the prior art. Therefore, the arguments are not persuasive and the rejection is proper.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul E Brock II whose telephone number is (703)308-6236. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM-5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Lee can be reached on (703)308-1690. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)308-7722 for regular communications and (703)308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

Paul E Brock II October 11, 2002

EDDIE LEE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800